

## USF Board of Trustees FinanceCommittee NOTES August 16, 2022 Microsoft Teams Virtual Meeting

I. Call to Order and Comments

The meeting of the Finance Committees called to order by Chalidichael Griffin at 9:05am. Chair Griffin askedKiara Guzzoto call roll. Ms. Guzzocalled roll with the following Committee members present: Michael Griffin, Mike Carr, dRogan Donley, Shilen Patel, Melissa Seix, dd/ill Weatherford and Chip Newton A quorum was established.

II. Public Comments Subject to USF Procedure

No requests for public comments were received.

- III. New Business -Action Items
  - a. Approval of Meeting Notes
    - 1. May 13, 2022
    - 2. May 24, 2022

Upon request and receiving obanges to the draft meeting notes, Chair Griffinguested a motion for approval, it was seconded and the Maty and May 24 meeting notes were unanimously approved as submitted all Committeemembers persent

b. 2022-23 Operating Budget

Rich Sobieray, Sr. Vice President & CF0,daMasha Galchenk,AssistantVice President & Budget Directorpresented th2022-23 OperatingBudget The USF Board of Trustees (BOT) is required to adopt and approve an annual bu**foget**te operation of the University to submit to the Board of Governo (BOG). On June 15, 2022, the BOT approved a FY2022-23 continuation operating budgetlast year's level with the understanding that USF would prepare a 2022-23 budget for approval and usbsequent submission to the BOG August 19, 2022. A high-level summary of the FY2022-23 Operating Budget (OB) will be presented today.

Mr. Sobieray explained the impacts that were considered in developing the FY23 budget These impacts included: record legislative session which helped this budget tremendously; State Performance Based placementollment and tuition collection bessfederal funds support bargained wage increases, internal equity and stated benefit costs; cost duplications still existend area top priority for FY23 as we address budget for FY23 budget. Expecting a record year for restiment which will help the budge However, this is largely a volume issue as tuitionates still are frozen and we have not hadpaportunity to do anything with that. Tuition collections are always a challenge. We do not necessarily collect 100% of our fees f(nancial aid, waiversetc.), which ultimately affects the net collections of the University.

Ms. Galchenkprovidedadditional information on tuition collections. USF over-1.3 (fpla)]TJ 01.3 (at)-

around HR, business & finance, and the like, lay gest a result of frustration from a lack of service from a centrahodel. We hired a consultant elioCampus, to look this and identify where opportunities exist Opportunities were also editified during the budget process where services could be provided montaicently in a more centralized environment

BOT Chair Weatherford agreed that we cannot use the incestimom the state and excuse not to make the tough choices and the efficity decisions to nke ourselves a better university and a more efficient organization. With this investment, the state expects us to be even more diligent in our efforts to beficient and good stewards of the resourcets at we are given. We need to the this time to be thoughtful on how we position ourselves for the future and give ourselves the ability to weather any stion the long-term.

(or)-3.7 (g)1.7 (h)-3.8 ( ch)-003 Tc -0eeso)-3.7 (u)-3 [(an)-3.19.323 (e )]TJcas01 Tw [()5.7 (o[-3.8 ( t)der)-1.4 w4 (ve)4.4 (n m)5 [.76 0 (ve.7 o -1.150( ch9.73e,bed4.4 ( o)2(ong)]TJ 0 Tc)r cvci-177 ( enn21 0 Td e(e ab3 (ur)2.7 ab)-3 T.5 ( t699]T.1 (o3( ch)-c

- c. Legislative Budget Requet (LBR)
  - 1. USF LBR

Eric Eisenberg, Interim Provost, al Maark Walsh, Assistant Voie President, presented the 2023-24 USF Legislative Budget Request (LBRP rovost Eisenberg explained that adtional state investment is necessary continue USFs trajectory. This is a proposed recurring investment of \$50M ich will provide the resources necessary for us to implement our strategic planscending into the top 25 nationalpublic research universities as measured by UeSvAV& World Report (USN&WR) and position us further for eligibility in the AAU Five of the ten USN&WR metrics in which USF most lags the current public AAU average are related exclusively, opredominantly, to USF's relative lack of financial resces compared to urappirational peer institutions in the AAU and the USN& Wat 25. Notably, by USN&WR's measurement standards, the average public AAU institution has the financial resources at its disposal to invest 77% more per student than USF's stedts currently benefit from and to patheir average faculty member 34% more than a typical USF faculty member earns. But most of USF's student success outcomes are already comparable, and in cases early a student success outcomes are already comparable. superior, to the public AAU institutions' average outcomes F is doing very well with the items over when we have the greatest control.

Mr. Walsh explained the LBRotthe goup. State agencies are required by law to submit an LBR We submit our LBR to the BOG and the BOG submits to the state for the SUS. Overhe

50 on all of these metrics; **dio**n average for the selectrics, USF performs like the 29<sup>th</sup>

The process to develop this LBR was more strategicithpatest years. Therwere also additional steps in this process, one of white was Council on Academic Vice Presidents (CAVPendosementThis plan was presented to the CAVP on August 3, 2022, and received unanimous prot/endorsement.

FIO is an SUSwide institution hosted USF. Consistent with the BO@gulation, any legislative requestby the AISO requireconsideration and approval by the Board of Trustesof the host institution or its designee. FIO is one of only two AISOs in the state; the othis the University Pressosted by UF. FIO is direct supported through apecial allocation category and receives 2M E&G budget per year. FIO actually operates at a level chase 55M, most of which is based on its role as an auxiliary. FIO operates under a strategic plan that goes through 2025

) ([ ace7.37.1-b6( 34.4)(at 2;483402)]TTKa00 Toc) \$2074)70062245(1704 Tato)-3.8g(i)-1.73.7 (e Uam)102s;-0.002 Tw 17.263 .001 [(t in

of Florida for and on behalf of the universities of the State University System of Florida After the November meetingend during negotiations, a fourth year was added to the contract. Thenow 4-year agreement is in therfal stage of negotiation of terson The total for the four years tate agreed upon ates is \$3,669,282 pl

request is being made at this time unsoling may be released by the state prior to the next regularly schedule dommittee meeting

Chair Griffin asked if we have sufficient staff to manage these pectiencely and within budget Vice President Carole Post explained that this will be a closellebut a good challenge to have, and we do have a rigorous plan intplaceable to execute and meet our objective both the spending objectives, which require a great deal of urgency and also the rigor around tracking the appropriates the compliance, and the spending criters; and being very factbased around how we are applying these funds so they go to the depest needhe most vulnerable gaps that we have). This is all part of our master plan and otbes take additional resources. To operspective, this \$72M is relative to our average capital funds with over the pastive years has averaged \$65M per year. We have a keed for additional resources in our by met request to handlthe workload we are looking at assessing our current staff d how to reposition people to alignith the evolving needs; and also looking at staff augmentiation where we can flex up using professional services as a proceeding intense, and then flex back down as that project is completed in Grffin thanked Ms. Post for response and is grateful her and her teamHe would like to discuss further (offline), as we scale hese projects some these dollars will not be recurred, how we scale quickly and prudentbut also that we are natiding toomuch to the team that the volume cannot saistitselfas these projects get completed

TrusteePayam asked if the final number of \$42M has any margin error to calculate any of the unknown costs that might come up have executing the project \$1.8. Post explained that there is a lot of margin conforming the number with supply chain and inflationary effects. All of these projects have long lead times require design work to be done in advanceFor most of these projects, we will not know the final numbers until the design work is completed. We are fairly confident the \$42M is consistent for the work for these @1 projects but there created by there Ebth nursing workforce. The purpose of this project is to repurpose existinges (some vacant, some occupied) provide a superior physical environmetor the expansion of the College of Nrsing enrollment Fully funded by PECO apprintions.

A motion was made to **prove** the expenditures presented. The motion was seconderand approved by a **C**ommitteememberspresent.

Academic STEM Nursing Facility – Sarasota-Maratee Campus \$2,950000
Design and pre-construction services only for the dernic STEM Nursing Facility planned for the SasotaManatee campusFundedby PECO appropriation(\$3M received in FY2022-23/ear 1).

A motion was made to **ap**ove the expenditures presented. The motion was seconded and approved by a **C**ommittee members present.

 BISK Renewal Agreement -\$4,890,000
3-year newal to agreement with Bisk Education, Into provide online porgrams in Health Informatics. This is self-supported with auxiliary funds. Operates with a 5-8% margin. The auxiliary will have the 80% required casheserve.

A motion was made to **ap**ove the expenditures presented. The motion was seconded and approved by a **C**ommitteemembers present.

e. General Banking, Merchant and Purchasing Card Services

Fell Stubbs, University Teasureraddressethe Committee seeking approval fibre JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.Ao provide general banking netchantbanking and purchasing card service for the University

1) Investment

USF has 10 investment port